

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 33970R003 7859 05/08/2002 Daltro Garcia Pinatti 10/018,362 **EXAMINER** 441 7590 01/05/2004 SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP MEDLEY, MARGARET B 1850 M STREET, N.W., SUITE 800 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WASHINGTON, DC 20036 1714

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|--|-------------|
| <u>و</u> | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Office Action Cummons | 10/018,362 | PINATTI ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Margaret B. Medley | 1714 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 December 2001. | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 December 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | |
| 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | /o/oz 5) Notice of Informal P | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152) | ·· |

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

The instant application was filed as a 371 application with pending claims 1-8. Claims 3- were amended in Paper No. 8 dated December 19, 2001 and the amendment was entered of record. The priority document dated December 19, 2001 has been entered of record. The IDS filed as Paper No. 3 dated December 19, 2001, Paper No. 5 dated April 10, 2002 and Paper No. 9 dated September 2, 2002 have been reviewed and made of record.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Appropriate correction is required.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The phrase "1.5-25 m²/g" and "2 m²/g" at line 11 of page 12 should be corrected to coincide with instant claims 1 and 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,362

Art Unit: 1714

The Brief Description of the Drawing should be corrected at page 11to list each of Figures 3 individually and each of Figures 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12 individually. Figure #e and Figure 4 of the drawing should be corrected because each appear to be separate individually drawings.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The crystalline density and the empirical formula are critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). The disclosure at page 14 in Table 4(a) for the crystalline density and the disclosure at page 18 in Table 5 for the empirical formula demonstrate that said particular features were considered essential by the applicant, are not reflected in the claims which are rejected.

The claims if amended to overcome the 112 issues would be allowable over the prior art made of record.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure for teaching a process for pyrolysis of cellulose materials of the same nature as those of the instant claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,362

Art Unit: 1714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret B. Medley whose telephone number is 703-308-2518.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

> **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1714

Page 4

MBMedley December 23, 2003